

Purpose

The public consultation was undertaken following approval from the Lead Cabinet member on 9th January 2016, in accordance with section 72 of the Antisocial Behaviour, Crime and Policing Act 2014. The consultation sought to obtain public views, on extending the Public Spaces Protection Order (PSPO) currently operating in the Seven Sister area for a further three years. The PSPO, with the restrictions listed below, will have to be extended or discharged on 31st July 2017: -

- Congregating in a group of two or more persons in such a manner as to cause obstruction or give reasonable grounds for annoyance to any person in the street or public place or the car park of Wickes Store
- Persistently loitering in a street or public place or the car park of Wickes Store
- For a person in the street, public place or Wickes Car park, including a person in a motor vehicle to solicit another for the purpose of obtaining casual labour
- Urinating, defecating or exposing genitals in a public place or in an area belonging to a private resident, business or the council (excluding a toilet designated for use by members of the public).
- Consuming alcohol or having an open container of alcohol in your possession in the street or public place or the Wickes Car Park.
- Restricted access to the alleyway between Roslyn Road and Southey Road, by erected gating
- .

Methodology

- 1.0. Consultation process ran from 13th April 2017 to 7th June 2017 and involved an on-line questionnaire.
- 1.1 ASBAT attended the Wickes Store to provide details of the on-line consultation process. Discussion also took place indicating full support for the PSPO continuing beyond 31st July 2017. Wickes Store confirmed that the presence of the PSPO had made a significant difference to the locality, with a marked reduction in the number of men loitering outside and around the Wickes Car Park, approaching their customers for work.
- 1.2 ASBAT also attended Safer Neighbourhood Ward Panel meetings to advise and encourage the completion of the on-line consultation questionnaire. The feedback from participants was that the PSPO had made a difference and they fully supported it continuing for a further three years. These meetings included local residents and representatives of local residents' associations.

Appendix 4 - PSPO Consultation Report

- 1.3 ASBAT officers also made contact with local business, in particular the Seven Sisters Market to advise them of the on-line consultation questionnaire and encourage response.
- 1.4 ASBAT also liaised with all local councillors in the local area of the PSPO, providing details of the on-line consultation and requesting they disseminate to their constituents.
- 1.5 Notices were displayed in the locality advising local residents, business or visitors to the locality, that the PSPO is due to expire on 31st July 2017 and that the Council proposes to extend the order for a further three years. Details of the on-line consultation process were provided on the Notice inviting the public to make their views known.
- 1.6 In recognition of the impact that the PSPO is likely to have on the Eastern European men who hang around outside Wickes, the notices referred to above (1.5) were available in Polish, Romanian, Bulgarian and Hungarian.
- 1.7 Details of the consultation were also disseminated to staff, in particular those of Home for Haringey, who may be working in and around the locality of the PSPO

Data Analysis

2.0. The Consultation Questionnaire included two Questions:

- *Do you agree with the Public Spaces Protection Order being extended
If you answered NO, what are your objections to the Public Spaces Protection Order being extended*
- *Do you have any comments about the extension of the Public Spaces Protection Order*

Responses to the above questions reaped an overwhelming support for the proposal to extend the PSPO for a further three years.

Q1. Do you agree with the Public Spaces Protection Order being extended?

Yes	No	No Preference	Total	No reply
24	2	0	26	-
92%	8%	0%	100%	-

If you answered NO, what were your objections to the Public Spaces Protection Order being extended? (Please List reasons)

- *There are three residents in our property*
- *It's pointless as the current one isn't effectively enforced so all extending it will do is make a wider area that will cost more of our local council tax for little of no effect whatsoever*

Q2A. Do you have any comments about the extension of the Public Spaces Protection Order

Yes	No	Blank	Total	
13	12	1	26	
50%	46%	4%	100%	

Comment made are:-

- *It's essential for this order to be extended as I have been approached by a man in that area for sex which I found humiliating. I do my best to not w*
- *The PSPO is required to effectively police the current and ongoing issues around the area*
- *The existing restrictions have had a profound effect on public right of way and health safety issues so it makes sense to continue*
- *It seems that one minute you are telling us there will be more police but we get far more help and support from our PCSO then any other enforcement.*
- *I have received many complaints from residents in this area about the problems relating to Wickes on Seven Sisters Road and therefore I agree with the extension*
- *PSPO are useless unless the Council and Police enforce the orders. Enforcement and routine enforcement should be a major consideration of this consultation*
- *It has had an effect but definitely needs to be extended otherwise I think the problems will revert to the same level they were.*
- *As above.*
- *It should be extended to cover the whole of Haringey. Kids congregate outside the Everyman and Sainsbury's in Muswell Hill during the lunch hour*
- *It would be good if it also extended to area in front of Seven Sisters station (if it isn't already), a frequently site of public drinking.*
- *The PSPO needs to be extended further, there's numerous instances of the same behaviour around the Craven Park road area, street drinkers loiter*
- *I haven't seen any evidence of reduced anti-social activity in the year I have been living in the area. I've had big groups of men outside my house*
- *I Think it is very important for our area. I have been living here (Stonebridge road N15 5Pf) since 2008, and during those years I was not secure .*

Appendix 4 - PSPO Consultation Report

- 2.1. The consultation questionnaire attempted to identify respondents who live and/or work within the affected area of the proposed PSPO, as they would be expected to be most affected by the Order. To ensure their views were fully represented respondents to the questionnaire were asked to identify their post code and specify whether or not they were a resident, local business or work in the area.

Resident	Local Business	Work in the area	Total	No reply
19	0	5	26	2
73%		19%	100%	8%

19 respondents specified a N15 post code. From this we were able to ascertain that 89% of those who stated they lived or worked in the N15 area were in favour of the restricted activities of the PSPO.

- 2.2. The characteristics of all respondents to the Question of whether or not they agree with the extension of the PSPO can be viewed in the tables below:

<u>RELIGION</u>					
	YES	NO	BLANK	TOTAL	
Christian	9	1	0	10 38%	
Hindu	0	0	0	0	
Muslim	0	0	0	0	
Sikh	0	0	0	0	
Jewish	0	0	0	0	
Rastafarian	0	0	0	0	
Buddhist	1	0	0	1 4%	
No Religion	9	1	0	10 38%	
Prefer not to say	4	0	0	4 15%	
Other/blank	1	0	0	1 4%	
TOTAL	24	2	0	26	

Appendix 4 - PSPO Consultation Report

AGE				
	YES	NO	BLANK	TOTAL
UNDER 25	0	0	0	0
25-44	6	0	0	6 23%
45-60	9	1	0	10 38%
61-84	7	1	0	8 31%
85 & OVER	1	0	0	1 4%
BLANK	1	0	0	1 4%
TOTAL	24	2	0	26

GENDER				
	YES	NO	BLANK	TOTAL
MALE	7	1	0	8 31%
FEMALE	16	1	0	17 65%
BLANK	1	0	0	1 4%
TOTAL	24	2	0	26

Sexual Orientation				
	YES	NO	BLANK	TOTAL
HETROSEXUAL	20	1	0	21 81%
BISEXUAL	0	0	0	0
GAY	0	0	0	0
LESBIAN	0	0	0	0
BLANK	4	1	0	5 19%
TOTAL	24	2	0	26

Marriage and Civil Partnerships				
	YES	NO	BLANK	TOTAL
SINGLE	7	1	0	8 31%
MARRIED	10	1	0	11 42%
CO-HABITING	4	0	0	4 15%
WIDOWED	1	0	0	1 4%
BLANK	2	0	0	2 8%
TOTAL	24	2	0	26

ETHNIC GROUP

		Do you agree with the public spaces protection order being extended?			
ETHNIC GROUP		Yes	No	Total	
White	British	11	1	12	
		42%	4%	46%	
White	Irish	1	0	1	
		4%	-	4%	
White Other	Greek/Greek Cypriot	-	-	-	
	Turkish	-	-	-	
	Turkish Cypriot	-	-	-	
	Kurdish	-	-	-	
	Irish Traveller	-	-	-	
	Other	3	-	3	
		11%	-	11%	
Black or Black British	African	-	-	-	
	Caribbean	0	1	1	
		-	4%	4%	
	Other	1	-	1	
		4%	-	4%	
Asian or Asian British	Indian	-	-	-	
	Pakistani	-	-	-	
	Bangladeshi	-	-	-	
	East African Asian	-	-	-	
	Other	1	-	1	
		4%	-	4%	
Mixed	White & Black African		-	-	
	White & Black Caribbean		-	-	
	White & Asian		-	-	
	Other	2	-	2	
		8%	-	8%	
Chinese					
Any other ethnic background					
No reply		5	0	5	
		19%	-	19%	
Total		24	2	26	